PRESENTERS



Sam Holden, Chapman Tripp, Auckland

Sam is a disputes partner in the construction & major projects team. He acts for the firm's largest clients in complex construction disputes, achieving excellent results in the courts, arbitration, adjudication and settlements. Sam also advises on insolvency issues impacting construction projects, assisting receivers, voluntary administrators and liquidators.



Richard Hutchison, Chapman Tripp, Auckland

Richard is a senior associate in the firm's litigation team, acting for clients in relation to tortuous and contractual claims, and insurance disputes. His recent experience includes representing a product manufacturer in significant weathertightness litigation claims, as well as acting for clients in complex construction disputes.

The statements and conclusions contained in this book are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This book has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

ONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS ACT HLAKE INVESTMENTS LTD V CIVIL CONSTRUCTION LTD [2023] NZHC 2715 OVID claim	1 3 3 3 4 4
ONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS ACT HLAKE INVESTMENTS LTD V CIVIL CONSTRUCTION LTD [2023] NZHC 2715 OVID claim age 12 Claim cation DOES "CHALLENGING" AN ENGINEER'S DECISION UNDER CLAUSE 13.1.1 REQUIRE? HE ADJUDICATOR'S DECISION BINDING?	1 3 3 3 4 4
OVID claimage 12 Claim	3 3 4 4
OVID claimage 12 Claim	3 4 4
OVID claimage 12 Claim	3 4 4
age 12 Claim	3 4 6
cation	4 6
DOES "CHALLENGING" AN ENGINEER'S DECISION UNDER CLAUSE 13.1.1 REQUIRE? HE ADJUDICATOR'S DECISION BINDING?	4 6
	7
AENGA LTD V CPB CONTRACTORS PTY LTD [2023] NZHC 2974	9
	9
O THE LIKELY HARM BE ADEQUATELY REPARABLE BY AN AWARD OF DAMAGES?	10
HE HARM SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THAT LIKELY TO RESULT TO CPB IF THE INTERIM	
RES ARE GRANTED?	11
ENT	11
) F	THE LIKELY HARM BE ADEQUATELY REPARABLE BY AN AWARD OF DAMAGES? HE HARM SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGH THAT LIKELY TO RESULT TO CPB IF THE INTERIM RES ARE GRANTED?